Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/943,750	HOWLAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin R Fischer	1733
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Justin R Fischer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Vernon Maine</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 November 2004	Time: <u>12:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 27-31,33,35,36,40-44,and 47-52		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate reddid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a written	summary of the substance
Pustin Link		
examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A)	oplicant's Representative Signa	ature _ if appropriate\
(the meaniture)	Francis i ropi oscilialive Signa	ature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed of the receipt of the after final amendment. Furthermore, the examiner took the position that the declaration was not commensurate in scope with the claims since, as currently drafted, the claims did not require a saturation coating.